

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	TION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/014,391 10/22/2001		10/22/2001	Matthias Bienmuller	Mo-6704/LeA 34,	1055	
157	7590	05/06/2004		EXAMINER		
BAYER P		RS LLC	YOON, TAE H			
100 BAYER ROAD PITTSBURGH, PA 15205				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				1714		
			DATE MAILED: 05/06/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					V			
*		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	•			
		10/014,39	91	BIENMULLER ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examine		Art Unit				
		Tae H Yo	on	1714				
7 Period for F	he MAILING DATE of this commu	nication appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHOR THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the peri - If NO peri - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD F ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN is of time may be available under the provision (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com od for reply specified above is less than thirty ( od for reply is specified above, the maximum reply within the set or extended period for repl received by the Office later than three months attent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ev munication. 30) days, a reply within the stat statutory period will apply and w ly will, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be t utory minimum of thirty (30) do ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro lication to become ABANDON	imely filed  ays will be considered timely,  the mailing date of this communic  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.			
Status								
1)⊠ R€	esponsive to communication(s) fil	ed on 26 April 2004.						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This action is non-final.								
3)□ Sii	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition	of Claims							
4a) 5)□ Cl 6)⊠ Cl 7)□ Cl	aim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. aim(s) is/are allowed. aim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. aim(s) is/are objected to. aim(s) are subject to restricted.	are withdrawn from co						
Application	Papers							
10)☐ The Ap Re	e specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are plicant may not request that any objectement drawing sheet(s) including oath or declaration is objected	e: a) accepted or b) ection to the drawing(s) b g the correction is requir	oe held in abeyance. S ed if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.1.				
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acl a)⊠ / 1.[ 2.[ 3.[	knowledgment is made of a claim All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: ☑ Certified copies of the priority ☐ Certified copies of the priority	y documents have been y documents have been sof the priority documental Bureau (PCT Rules)	en received. en received in Applica ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	ition Noved in this National Stage	<b>.</b>			
Attachment(s)								
_	References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summar	ry (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of 3) Informati	Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 on (s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail I					

Application/Control Number: 10/014,391

Art Unit: 1714

The table 1 on page 27 is objected since percent and elongation at break values for Ex.1 and Ex.2 are typed in two lines, and thus is confusing. Also. The line reciting comparative examples are inconsistent. For example, ".1" is in second line for "Comp. 1", and "ComP 4" and "Comp 5" are seen.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the pr ior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 and 7-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Idel et al (US 5,231,124).

Rejection is maintained for reason of record and following.

The examiner believes that overlapping range of about 5.5% (4.1-9.5/2-100) is anticipation. Applicant's assertion based on the greater elongation at break after storage in steam for 240 hours has little probative value in claims 1-4 and 7-16 since such limitation is not required. With respect to 17, there is no example showing the claimed outer limit, 0.041 and 0.095 pbw of a phosphorous acid ester, and thus

Application/Control Number: 10/014,391

Art Unit: 1714

applicant's assertion also has little probative value. Applicant teaches that Comp. 3 with 0.04 pbw of a phosphorous acid ester yields a lower value of an elongation at break after storage in steam for 240 hours, but there is no evidence that a composition with **0.041** pbw of a phosphorous acid ester would yield higher value. Also, Ex. 1 (99.95+0.06=100.01) and 2 (99.94+0.07=100.01) have the total amount higher than 100 % and thus they lack any probative value.

Also, the recitation of "consisting essentially of" alone cannot overcome the rejection based on the art reciting "comprising". See *In re De Lajarte*, 337 F2d 870, 143 USPQ 256 (CCPA, 1964); *In re Herz*, 537 F.2d 549, 551-52, 190 USPQ 461 (CCPA 1976); *In re Janakirama-Rao*, 317 F.2d 951, 137 USPQ 893 (CCPA 1963); When applicant contends that modifying components in the reference composition are excluded by the recitation of "consisting essentially of", applicant has the burden of showing the basic and novel characteristics of his composition - i.e. a showing that the introduction of these components would materially change the characteristics of applicant's composition.

Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Idel et al (US 5,231,124) and Magerstedt et al (US 5,726,227).

Rejection is maintained for reason of record and above.

Magerstedt et al teach the instant amount of fillers and reinforcing materials and flame retardant additives and oxetanyl group for esters of phosphorous acid. Thus, the

Application/Control Number: 10/014,391

Art Unit: 1714

use of such conventional additives and amounts thereof in any polymeric composition would be obvious.

Even though Magerstedt et al does not teach polyalkylene terephthlate, Magerstedt et al do teach a polymer having polyester units at col. 4, line 53.

Claims 1-4, 10-12, and 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over DE 26 15 341.

Rejection is maintained for reason of record and the same reason given above.

Claims 1-12 and 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over DE 26 15 341 and Magerstedt et al (US 5,726,227).

Rejection is maintained for reason of record and the same reason given above.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 1714

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tae H Yoon whose telephone number is (571) 272-1128. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1714